a Judge Not?

BY JACOB PRASCH

Do not speak against one another, brethren. He who speaks against a brother, or judges his brother, speaks against the law, and judges the law; but if you judge the law, you are not a doer of the law, but a judge of it (James 4:11).

Do not speak against one another. Do not speak against your brethren. Do not judge your brother. Is that what it says? Look at verse 4 of the same chapter.

You adulteresses, do you not know that friendship with the world is hostility toward God?Therefore whoever wishes to be a friend of the world makes himself an enemy of God (James 4:4).

You adulteresses!

Do not judge your brother!

From the pen of the same apostle, in the distance of a few paragraphs, in almost the same breath that he is saying "do not judge," James calls worldly churches "adulteresses."

Spiritual adultery

James is probably the oldest book of the New Testament. We know from its Hebraic background, from the way it draws on synagogue leadership structure and the Scriptures, that it was obviously written to Jewish Christians.

As an Hebraic writer, he is using the Hebrew concept of whoredom or harlotry. Whenever Israel went into idolatry, God calls it "adultery." Idolatry equals spiritual adultery.

Israel was to be God's woman, in much the same way as the church is the bride of Christ. The church being unfaithful is like Israel being unfaithful. That idolatry is called "adultery." It is a very strong term in Hebrew, a very strong concept in the Jewish mind.

By their fruit...

Every good tree bears good fruit; but the bad tree bears bad fruit. A good tree cannot produce bad fruit, nor can a bad tree produce good fruit. Every tree that does not bear good fruit is cut down and thrown into the fire. So then, you will know them by their fruits (Matthew 7:17-20).

Jesus said that you can judge someone by their fruit. In the same chapter, He says, *Do not judge lest you be judged* (Matthew 7:1).

First it is, "Judge not lest you be judged," yet He goes on to say, "You will know them by their fruits."

People involved with Toronto and Pensacola say, "You know Toronto/ Pensacola by its fruit and there is good fruit from it."

Jesus never said that you would know *a phenomena* by its fruit. He said that you would know *a person* by their fruit.

More than that, even judging a phenomenon by its fruit, you can see that it is not the fruit of the Spirit.

The fruit of the Spirit is self-control, not drunkenness and lunacy.

Contradictions?

Do not judge according to appearance, but judge with righteous judgement (John 7:24).

First Jesus says, "Judge not lest you be judged," but then He says, "Judge with right judgement."

James appeared to contradict himself. Now Jesus seems to contradict himself. Jesus said, "Don't do it," then He tells you *how* to do it. James said, "Don't do it," straight after he did it.

Therefore you are without excuse, every one of you who passes judgement, for in that you would judge another, you condemn yourself; for you who judge practice the same things (Romans 2:1).

Therefore do not go on passing judgement before the time, but wait until the Lord comes who will both bring to light the things that are hidden in darkness and disclose the motives of men's hearts; and then each man's praise will come to him from God (1 Corinthians 4:5). But you, why do you judge your brother? Or you again, why do you regard your brother with contempt?

For we shall all stand before the judgment seat of God (Romans 14:10).

For I, on my part, though absent in body but present in spirit, have already judged him who has so committed this [the guy in question here was involved in an incestuous relationship with his father's wife], as though I were present (1 Corinthians 5:3).

Over and over, Paul says, "Don't judge." But then he passes judgment.

Jesus says, "Don't judge," but then he says to do it and shows you how.

James says, "Don't judge," but then he does it.

Why the contradictions?

God is the Judge

If God says in the Bible that something is wrong, and we see someone or some church do that thing, we are not judging them, it is the Word of God that judges.

The Hebrew name, "Jehoshaphat" means *Jehovah has judged*.

That is not me or you judging. We are simply acknowledging, "This is what God says."

James was not calling worldly churches "adulteresses." The Word of God says that, if we are attached to this world, we are an adulteress church.

Paul was not saying that the man involved with his step-mother was immoral. Paul was saying that the Word of God says that person was immoral.

If God says that something is plainly wrong, that is not you or me judging, that is God judging.

That is what it means when it says, "Judge with right judgement." Judging with right judgement means judging with God's judgement, not with our own.

There is a big problem here.

There are a lot of things that I am not comfortable with, but I would not necessarily castigate someone else for doing.

People judging

I know of a case where some believers, after their wedding, went to a discotheque. (I would not go to a discotheque unless it was to give out tracts.) I did not feel a peace about going, but I am not going to make a big deal out of it, no matter what my own misgivings or feelings.

I know of another case in Ireland where, at a Christian wedding, people danced. Other people became indignant and began yelling, "You're backsliders," and stormed out of the wedding, creating a big scene. It split a church. That is people judging.

The word "Laodicea" has to do in the Greek with "people's opinions, people's judgements."

We have no right to make a judgement of another person, but once God says something is right or wrong, that is not us judging.

Anakrino — to discern

The Greek word for "judge" is *krino*. If you put the prefix "ana" in front of the word "krino," you get a variation on the idea of judging, which is "to discern."

But he who is spiritual judges [anakrino] all things, yet he himself is judged[anakrino] by no man (1 Corinthians 2:15).

God, in His Word, commands us to *anakrino*. It is not a right; it is not a privilege; it is not something that is advisable. We are *commanded* to do it. And if you do not discern, you lack wisdom.

There is a reason why false teachers will not stand up publicly and debate someone like Hank Hanegraaff or Dave Hunt.

People who will stand up and say, "That is not Scriptural." "That is not right." "I discern that this is not of God," are practicing wisdom.

The reason why Michael Brown backed out of his debate with me over Pensacola, and why Jim McConnell backed out of his debate with me over British Israelism, is that they lack wisdom.

And those who practice wisdom, cannot themselves be judged by anyone. Because they *anakrino*, they cannot be *anakrino*-ed. That is why those others are afraid of them.

Let's go a bit further. With Greek, the prefix changes everything.

Diakrino — to decide

I say this to your shame. Is it so, that there is not among you one wise man who will be able to decide [diakrino] between his brethren? (1 Corinthians 6:5).

Another prefix which changes the meaning of *krino* is "dia." *Diakrino* means to "render a decision."

Is something right or wrong? Is someone's behaviour right or wrong morally? Is it Scriptural or unscriptural? Is it of God, or is it of the flesh, or of the devil?

Paul commands that we are to *diakrino*, only it is not Paul, it is the Holy Spirit speaking through Paul.

We are not permitted to *diakrino*. We are not advised to *diakrino*. We are not privileged to *diakrino*. We are commanded to *diakrino*.

It is not that judging is acceptable. Rather, to fail to judge is unacceptable.

Not allowed to go to the secular authorities?

About two months ago a woman and her husband contacted us, very distressed. They had a four year old girl who was the victim of semi-penetrated sex in a church. She was molested by a fourteen year old boy, who was supposedly a Christian and whose parents had been going to that same church for years.

This little girl was devastated. She drew me a picture, and as soon as I saw the picture, I knew what it was.

Now, I do not have much time for secular psychology. I believe in Biblical psychology, but I do believe in psychology. Biblical psychology is based in the book of Proverbs.

If you want to know why human beings behave and act the way they do, and think the way they do, read Proverbs. That is the best book of sociology and psychology there is.

I can agree with human psychology to the degree that it is based on Biblical psychology. Secular psychology is godless, and sees man as a two dimensional being. It concerns me that so many evangelicals have gotten into secular psychology and pop psychology.

The little girl drew a picture of a house. Inside the house there were four windows and a door. Each face inside the house was female, there were no male faces. And she drew a picture of herself, outside. There was no pelvis. There was no pubic area.

That, according to paediatric psychiatrists, is a very frequent characteristic of drawings by children who have been sexually abused.

Children of that age will say things with pictures and drawings that they cannot express verbally. No men and she herself had no mid-section.

Her parents told me, "We don't know what to do. We go to a Baptist church and the pastor can't advise us because this fourteen year old boy is a Christian, and, based on 1 Corinthians, we are not allowed to go to the secular authorities about another believer.

So, according to his parents, we can't tell the police or the court what has happened. We are at our wit's end; we don't know what to do. Our daughter is traumatised."

Civil law / Criminal law

1 Corinthians 6 refers to Roman law. (The systems of jurisprudence in Britain, Australia and America are based on the original model in Rome.)

1 Corinthians 6 is talking about civil law, not criminal law; it is talking about suing someone under civil law, about litigation, it is not talking about criminal law.

It is 1 Corinthians 5 that talks about an immoral person.

I wrote to you not to associate with any socalled brother [any so-called Christian] if he should be an immoral person, or covetous, or an idolater, or a reviler, or a drunkard, or a swindler — not even to eat with such a one.

For what have I to do with judging[krino] *outsiders? Do you not judge* [krino] *those who are within the church?* (1 Corinthians 5:11-12)

We judge those who are within the church, who are guilty of immorality.

Do not even associate with the person.

Chapter 6 is not talking about criminal law. It is talking about civil law.

That fourteen-year-old should have been dealt with under the criminal law. His identity would have been protected by the court because of his age.

They failed to take action about that kid because there had been a series of things going on with him for some time. If something was not done for that kid at the age of fourteen, by the age of eighteen his life would have been destroyed. He would have been in an institution for paedophiles. But this fourteen-year-old had a chance. He should have been brought before the authorities for his own good, and also so that the little girl could have seen justice being done.

If this kind of stuff is not dealt with by the church immediately, what is going to happen when these people get older?

The victims are traumatised for their whole lives. It affects their sexuality when they grow up. And that church could not even give a Biblical answer!

(It was probably a good thing it was a Baptist minister. He could not give a biblical answer, but if it had been a Pentecostal minister, he probably would have tried to cast demons out of the little girl!)

I talked to my friend's wife, who is a Christian paediatric psychiatrist, and I showed the pictures to a Christian paediatric psychologist. They both agreed with the advice I gave the parents. And the parents referred the matter to the criminal authorities.

But what were these other ones saying? "Do not judge." "You cannot go to the authorities about another Christian and you cannot judge another Christian."

What were they doing? Giving people a licence to sexually abuse little kids?

This is what happens when the church goes away from God's Word.

We are not allowed to *diakrino*, we are <u>commanded</u> to *diakrino*, but it has more to do than just people.

Judging prophecy

And let two or three prophets speak, and let the others [diakrino] pass judgement (1 Corinthians 14:29).

We are commanded to judge prophecy.

But the prophet who shall speak a word presumptuously in My name which I have not commanded him to speak, or which he shall speak in the name of other gods, that prophet shall die.

And you may say in your heart, "How shall we know the word which the Lord has not spoken?"

When a prophet speaks in the name of the Lord, if the thing does not come about or come true, that is the thing which the Lord has not spoken. The prophet has spoken it presumptuously; you shall not be afraid of him (Deuteronomy 18:20-22).

Jeremiah 14 and Jeremiah 28 says the same thing. Jesus warned that there would be many false prophets in the last days.

We do not stone them to death any more, but the sin is no less serious. They shall die if they do not repent. We are not under the law, but under grace; but their "ministries" should be stoned.

1 Corinthians 14:29 does not say that we are entitled to *diakrino* prophets or prophecies, rather we are commanded to judge prophets and prophecies.

On this basis, Rodney Howard Browne is a false prophet. On this basis, John Wimber was a false prophet. On this basis, Rick Joyner is a false prophet. And on this basis, Paul Cain is a false prophet.

Now, that is not my judgement. I cannot *krino* anybody. The things that God has said will *krino*. I have to *anakrino*. I have to discern. Is this of God or is this of man?

No, it is not for me to judge. I have to *diakrino*, render a decision on whether it is morally right or wrong, by biblical standards.

The founders of the Mormon cult and the Jehovah Witnesses were false prophets; they predicted things that failed to happen. The Roman Catholic nun, Lucia, from Fatima, in Portugal, is a false prophet; she predicted things that failed to happen. John Wimber and Paul Cain and Rick Joyner are false prophets; they predicted things that failed to happen.

Andrew Evans and David Cartledge and Alun Davies are false prophets; they predicted in the name of the Lord that the 1990s would be a "Decade of Harvest" in Australia, but it has been a decade of scandal and decline for the AOG there.

Do I have the right to say that? No, not the *right*, but the *responsibility* to say it, the command from my God in heaven to say it. That is not *my* judgment; it is what *God* says about these people.

Jesus said, "If you love me, keep my commandments." If you fail to *diakrino*, you are failing to keep His commandments.

If you fail to *diakrino*, you will let these same people go on giving people "words" from their own flesh or from Satan.

People like that should be brought to account.

Judge righteously

Then I charged your judges at that time, saying, "Hear the cases between your fellow countrymen, and judge righteously between a man and his fellow countrymen, or the alien who is with him" (Deuteronomy 1:16).

That was not advice; that was a command.

Just look at what has happened to our secular society. Everybody is a "victim."

It does not matter that he got blind drunk and he was driving at 90 miles an hour through a village and killed a little kid on a bicycle. He came from a broken home: he is a "victim." It does not matter.

I tried to talk to a prostitute the other day. "I do this because my father hung himself." That was her argument. "I came from a bad situation." I said, "You just told me that your sister goes to university and she came from the same background, and she thinks that what you are doing is terrible. Isn't she a victim, too?"

Nobody is responsible for their own actions any more in society. But how can we expect society to live up to God's standards, when the church will not live up to God's standards.

I came from a bad situation. My father drank. I was a drug addict by the age of sixteen, and I have no doubt whatsoever that, if Jesus had not intervened in my life, I would be dead by now or a destroyed person of some kind.

It is only because of Him that I went to university and got married and did the things I have been privileged to do.

Left to myself, I would not have amounted to anything. Nonetheless, I am responsible for my own life and my own choices.

Salt and light

If the church will not uphold God's standards of responsibility, how can we expect our society to be any different?

If we are not upholding His standards, how can we be salt and light to a society that has turned it's back on God?

No wonder there is crime!

The main reason for the moral and social decline of our society is not because of the unsaved people; it is because of the lukewarm church.

Krisis — heaven or hell

There is a kind of judging that we are not called to do. The Greek word is *krisis*.

For not even the father judges anyone, but He has given all judgement [krisis] to the Son (John 5:22).

The ultimate determination of heaven and hell belongs to the Lord alone. We never *krisis*. We are forbidden to *krisis*.

Krites — the Judge of all

But you have come... to the general assembly and church of the first born who are enrolled in heaven, and to God, the Judge [krites] of all, and to the spirits of righteous men made perfect (Hebrews 12:23).

God is the judge of all. Yes, there are people who are called to judge, but God is the ultimate Judge of us all.

Hupo-krites — pharisees

If we are going to stand up and confront other people in the church about something being wrong, we had better make sure we are not guilty of the same thing, because that is another kind of judging we are forbidden to do.

Here the prefix *hupo* is added to *krites*, giving us the English word "hypocrites."

This is what Jesus meant when he said, Do not judge lest you be judged. For in the way you judge, you will be judged; and by your standard of measure, it will be measured to you.

And why do you look at the speck that is in your brother's eye, but do not notice the log that is in your own eye?

Or how can you say to your brother, "Let me take the speck out of your eye," and behold, the log is in your own eye?

You hypocrite [hupokrites], first take the log out of your own eye, and then you will see clearly to take the speck out of your brother's eye (Matthew 7:1-5).

We do not *krites* and we especially do not *hupo-krites*.

Kritikos — to discern

For the Word of God is living and active and sharper than any two-edged sword, and piercing as far as the division of soul and spirit, of both joints and marrow, and able to judge [kritikos] the thoughts and intentions of the heart (Hebrews 4:12). Cells called erythrocytes are stored in the red marrow of big bones, like the femur and the tibia. On the outside there is the bone and on the inside there is the marrow. But in between there is an area where, even with a microscope, it is very difficult to tell where the bone ends and where the marrow begins.

The Bible says that the relationship between soul and spirit is like that. Take prophecy: Was that from someone's imagination, or was it God's Spirit speaking through their spirit? It is very difficult to tell the difference.

Man is a three-dimensional being. You can separate a body from a soul; but you cannot easily tell where the soul ends and the spirit begins.

When people say, "The Lord showed me this" and "God told me that," it is difficult to know if it is someone's imagination or God's Spirit speaking to their spirit. The mind is a good servant, but a dangerous master. Many people are caught up with things not overtly demonic, but they are prophesying from the futility of their own mind.

We are called to *kritikos* — to discern between the soulish and the spiritual. The Word of God enables us to separate the bone from the marrow, the spiritual from the purely soulish.

Summary

Judge not? What does the Bible say?

1. We never judge from our opinions.

2. We are commanded to *anakrino* — we always seek to discern: "Is this of God, or is it of the flesh, or of the devil?"

3. We are commanded to *diakrino* — to render a decision as to whether something is morally right or wrong.

4. We do not *krisis* — the Lord alone decides who goes to heaven and who goes to hell.

5. We are sometimes appointed to *krites* — but we are to remember that the Lord is the Judge of all, and we are to judge righteously.

6. We never, ever, *hupo-krites*— before we take a speck out of our brother's eye, we make sure we do not have the same speck in our own eye.

7. We always *kritikos* — we draw on the Word of God to discern between the things of the soul and the things of the spirit.



This paper may be freely copied and distributed.

This paper was published by:

Moriel Australia Inc. P.O. Box 10, Campbelltown, South Australia, 5074

© Copyright Jacob Prasch 1998

ISBN 1876126337